

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Pat nt and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

7/

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/214,868	01/14/99	EIPEL			47114
-		IM52/0209	٦	EXAMINER	
KEIL & WEINKAUF				ALEXANDER, L	
1101 CONNECTICUT AVE NW				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON	DC 20036			1743	7
				DATE MAILED:	02/09/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

• 3	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	09/214,868	EIPEL ET AL.					
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Lyle A Alexander	1743					
The MAILING DATE f this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the co	rrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.							
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory communication. 	cation. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) I	thirty (30) days will MONTHS from the mailing date of this					
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 November 2000</u> .							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application	•						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been:1. received.							
2. received in Application No. (Series Code / Serial Number)							
3. received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	19(e).					
Attachment(s)	•						
 14) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 15) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 16) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	18) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/214,868

Art Unit: 1743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 2 and 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

See the appropriate paragraph of paper 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by EP 0402718, PCT 94/27719, WO 95/35505 or Fox.

See the appropriate paragraph page 5.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/24/00 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

There appears to be some confusion on what claims are pending in this application. Claims 1-12 are pending and attached is a copy of the pending claims.

The 11/24/00 amendments to claims 8-9 have not been entered because they fail to conform with 37 CFR 1.121.

Applicants state the claims requires a "non-continuous hydrophobic zone".

Presently, only claim 3 requires a "non-continuous zone" and these remarks are not commensurate in scope with pending claims 1-2 and 4-12.

Application/Control Number: 09/214,868

Art Unit: 1743

Claim 3 requires a "non-continuous hydrophobic zones". EP 0402718 teaches on page 2 lines 20+ use of an adhesive pattern and on page 3 line 36+ the non-adhesive part of the pattern including a hydrophobic surface which has been properly read on the claims. WO 95/35505 teaches on page 3 line 25 hydrophobic regions. Fox teaches a bottom hydrophobic layer that are separated by the cone/apertures.

Applicants remarks concerning WO 94/27719 are not understood because page 7 lines 6-8 teach making a mask which reads on the claimed "non-continuous zone".

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/214,868

Art Unit: 1743

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A Alexander whose telephone number is 703-308-3893. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday and Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 703-308-4037. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7718 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

February 8, 2001

LYLE A. ALEXANDER PRIMARY EXAMINER We claim:

5

10

15

- 1. A solid support for analytical measurement methods which is essentially composed of an inert solid support material on which hydrophilic measurement zones which may be provided with a surface loading are separated from one another by at least one hydrophobic coating, where the number of measurement points applied per cm² of the support is greater than or equal to 10.
- 2. A solid support as claimed in claim 1, wherein the hydrophilic measurement zones are separated from one another by at least one continuous hydrophobic coating.
- 3. A solid support as claimed in claim 1, wherein the hydrophilic measurement zones applied to the support are separated from one another by non-continuous hydrophobic zones.
- 4. A support as claimed in any of claims 1 to 3, wherein the support material used is glass, ceramic, quartz, metal, stone, plastic, rubber, silicon or porcelain.

5. A support as claimed in any of claims 1 to 4, wherein a transparent support material selected from the group of glass, quartz, silicon or plastic is used.

- A process for producing a support as claimed in any of claims
 1 to 5, which comprises providing the support with at least
 one hydrophobic coating, and applying the hydrophilic
 measurement zones by microlithography, photoetching, microprinting or a micropunch technique.
- 7. A process for producing a support as claimed in any of claims
 1 to 5, which comprises providing a hydrophilic or hydrophilized support with at least one hydrophobic coating by
 microlithography, photoetching, microprinting or a micropunch
 technique so as to produce hydrophilic measurement zones
 which are separated from one another.
- 8.
 - A process for producing a support as claimed in claim 6 or 7, wherein a surface loading is additionally applied in the hydrophilic measurement zones on the support.
 - 45 9. An analytical measurement method which comprises liquid analysis samples which may, where appropriate, be covered with a hydrophobic layer being applied and analyzed in the

hydrophilic measurement zones on a support as claimed in any of claims 1 to 5.

- 10. An analytical measurement method as claimed in claim 9, wherein the analytical measurement is carried out in an atmosphere which is virtually saturated with water vapor.
- 11. An analytical measurement method as claimed in claim 9 or 10, wherein the analytical measurement is carried out while cooling the support.
 - 12. The use of a support as claimed in any of claims 1 to 5 in diagnostic methods, in research looking for active substances, in combinatorial chemistry, in crop protection, in toxicology or in environmental protection.

20

15

25

30

35

40